

Social Safeguard Monitoring Report

Project Number: 43319-033
Loan 2983-CAM (SF), Loan 8265-CAM (SCF)
Grant 0334-CAM (UEIF), Grant 0335-CAM (SCF)

June 2020

CAM: Greater Mekong Subregion Southern Economic Corridor Towns Development Project

5th Quarterly Report September to November 2019

Prepared by General Department of Resettlement of the Ministry of Economy and Finance for the Asian Development Bank.

This social safeguard monitoring report is a document of the borrower. The views expressed herein do not necessarily represent those of ADB's Board of Directors, Management, or staff, and may be preliminary in nature. Your attention is directed to the "terms of use" section on ADB's website.

In preparing any country program or strategy, financing any project, or by making any designation of or reference to a particular territory or geographic area in this document, the Asian Development Bank does not intend to make any judgments as to the legal or other status of any territory or area.



**Kingdom of Cambodia
Nation Religion King**

**Fifth Quarterly Report
For SOUTHERN ECONOMIC CORRIDOR TOWNS
DEVELOPMENT PROJECT**

**ADB Loan No. 2983-CAM (SF)/Loan 8265-CAM (SCF), Grant 0334CAM
(UEIF)/Grant 0335-CAM (SCF)**

EXTERNAL MONITORING FOR RESETTLEMENT PLAN IMPLEMENTATION



September to November 2019

Submitted to the

Inter-Ministerial Resettlement Committee

Through the

General Department of Resettlement

Ministry of Economy and Finance

This Quarterly External Monitoring Report is of the Borrower and prepared for General Department of Resettlement by the external monitoring consultants for the Royal Government of Cambodia.

ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

ADB	: Asian Development Bank
AH	: Affected Household
AP	: Affected Person
DMS	: Detailed Measurement Survey
DRP	: Detailed Resettlement Plan
GDR	: General Department of Resettlement
IRC	: Inter-ministerial Resettlement Committee
PIB	: Project Information Booklet
PRSC	: Provincial Resettlement Sub-Committee
RP	: Resettlement Plan
RGC	: Royal Government of Cambodia
WG	: Working Group

CONTENTS

ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS.....	ii
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	1
I. INTRODUCTION	2
3. Fifth Quarterly Finding	16
3.1 Household Accessibility by EMO.....	16
3.2 Income Level of Affected Household.....	17
3.3 Monitoring of Consultation and Information Disclosure	18
3.5 Compensation Payment Progress.....	20
4. CONCLUSION	26
5. RECOMMENDATION.....	26
25. In term of lesson learnt from complainant AHs few improvements recommended:	27
ANNEX A: List of Target Sides for Interviewing in 5 th Quarter 2019.....	28
ANNEX B: External Monitoring Questionnaire	31
ANNEX C: Picture of Site Visit and field Survey.....	40

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

1. The Southern Economic Corridor Towns Development Project (The Project) covers Mekong sub-region of three countries such as Cambodia, Lao, and Vietnam. In Cambodia, the project activities are located in Battambang, Banteay Meanchey, and Svay Reang Province.
2. For the project activities, the project will transform the corridor town of Battambang, Bavet, and Poi Pet into economic hubs by improving urban-environmental infrastructure and strengthening institutional capacities of provincial and local authorities through the implementation of the following subprojects in each town. For Battambang town in Battambang province, the subproject is Wastewater Treatment (Storm Drainage), flood control, and materials recovery facility. For Bavet town in Svay Reang province, the subproject is Wastewater Treatment (Storm Drainage), material recovery facility, and urban roads. For Poi Pet town in Banteay Meanchey province, the subproject is Wastewater Treatment (Storm Drainage), Poi Pet solid waste landfill and material recovery.
3. In addition to Wastewater Treatment (Storm Drainage) subproject in Battambang, the subproject is in 3 communes which are Anlong Vil in Sangke District, Prek Preah Sdach and Rattanak both in Battambang municipality. The Battambang Storm Drain component of Wastewater Treatment Subproject will be constructed at East side of the town, located at Rattanak and Prek Preah Sdach Communes. The total length of Storm Drain is approximately 13.093 Km. In addition to Wastewater Treatment (Storm Drainage) subproject in Poi Pet, the subproject involves the construction of 4 lines of storm drains in Poi Pet Town. All these storm drains will start from north of National Road No. 5, crossing the road and will end up at the stream border. The total length of the storm drain is approximately 2km. In addition to Wastewater Treatment (Storm Drainage) Subproject in Bavet, the subproject consists of the construction of three storm drainage lines of approximately 5km all starting or crossing the National Road No.1 (NR1) and discharging into the existing drains constructed along the NR1. The last subproject is urban roads subproject in Bavet. It is in three (3) Communes namely (i) Ba Ti, (ii) Bavet and (iii) Chhak Mteas in Bavet town. The subproject involves widening and improvement of 9km of National Highway No. 01 from 159km to 165km in Bavet town.
4. This 5th quarterly external monitoring report covers from September to November 2019, summarizing the analysis of results of the implementation of the updated resettlement plan (URP) and detailed resettlement plan (DRPs) of the subprojects in Battambang, Banteay Meanchey and Svay Reang Province. In this monitoring period, the data collection process through conducting survey with AHs reached 18% at Poi Pet, 22% at Battambang, and 21% at Bavet, therefore, the overall monitoring survey was 21% or 185 out of total 892 AHs. Generally, monitoring survey under the subprojects involved the main activities of contract process, public consultation meeting, construction activities and compensation providing and subprojects implementation to match in accordance to URP/DRPs.

Table: Summary of URP implementation status

Subproject	Planned in the URP			Actual as implemented			Number of AHs with pending compensation	Vulnerable AHs with pending compensation
	Number of AHs	Incl. vulnerable AHs	Compensation and entitlements in USD	AHs received compensation	Incl. vulnerable AHs	Amount USD		
Battambang	362	56	Work in progress	Work in progress	56	Work in progress	Work in progress	Work in progress
Poipet	67	7	\$790,064.57	65	7	\$790,064.57	2 AHs	None
Bavet	463	1	\$331,551.31	463	1	\$331,551.31	None AH	None
Total	892	64	\$1,121,615.88	528	64	\$1,121,615.88	2 AHs	None

5. For the subproject in Poi Pet, there are 65AHs (97%) out of 67 have satisfactorily received compensation and the other 2 AHs (3%) have not yet received the compensation because one post-police request IRC-WG to rebuild the affected asset instead which it may not directly get compensation by cash and one remaining AHs still complain the affected side which he requested to change side affected. As IRC-WG already have public meeting by consultation which it is waiting for processing from provincial sectors' decision. For wastewater subproject in Bavet, 445 AHs (100%) have satisfactorily received compensation and there is no any complained. However, for wastewater treatment in Battambang and Urban Roads Subproject at Bavet subprojects, AHs are yet to be received compensation as the DRP is still under preparation.

6. The monitoring survey was carried out for 20% of the vulnerable and 80% of non-vulnerable group. The vulnerable group comprises widows, elderly, and poor AHs. The highest average income for AH was more than \$7000/month or 1% and the lowest was less than \$100/month or 2%. A total 24% of the AHs average income was in \$201-\$400/month. Trading and Others (refer to self-employment, technician, or laborer) income sources were the main sources of income. More AHs were aware about project implementation information because 85% of AHs have participated in the public consultation meetings, an increase of 15% compared to last quarter. The project information booklet was distributed to 85% of the AHs. In term of monitoring on project implementation, 8% were very satisfied with the activities of compensation and construction progress, 72% were satisfied, and 27% expressed average satisfaction, while only 1% expressed unsatisfactory. Through the construction activities, 8 AHs were unsatisfied compensation cost proposed, sewage drainage chamber position, and stockpile of soil in front of AH's house. It is recommended that, IRC-WG address the issues of the AHs with contractor to solve problem regarding to construction. Moreover, for direct impact by contractor, AHs requested IRC-WG to discuss with the contractor by not digging out of COI.

7. Moreover, for the monitoring period, there were 12 AHs (6%) out of the 185 AHs raised complaints for resolutions (Table 9) and all have been followed-up and discussed with IRC-WG to give feedback/resolutions to AHs. As the result, there are 11 cases solved with AHs after getting explanation from IRC-WG team participated by the EMO consultant. There is only one remaining request (Complaint) to speed up the construction as this request has been accepted and explained by IRC-WG. We will be informed from the AH in next quarter.

I. INTRODUCTION

1. **External Monitoring.** Resettlement Monitoring is an integral part of the resettlement process which entails monitoring of preparation and implementation of detail resettlement plans (DRPs) on Land Acquisition and Involuntary Resettlement (LAR), as laid down in the ADB's Safeguard Policy Statement (SPS) 2009, and the Royal Government of Cambodia's (RGC) LAR on Standard Operating Procedures 2018. The objective of monitoring is to review and assess the implementation of DRPs and validate the (i) implementation of LAR activities; (ii) payments to affected persons (APs), livelihood restoration, any changes occurred in their living standards, and the achievement of resettlement objectives have been met; (iii) effectiveness and adequacy of compensation entitlements of the APs under the DRPs of the subprojects; (iv) the remedial measures to address any issue related to payments and implementation of LAR activities; (v) institutional arrangements; (vi) and the effective function of the grievance redress mechanism. Thus, the monitoring enables the General Department of Resettlement (GDR) to make timely decision on any corrective measures needed to implement DRPs effectively and learn lessons for future formulation and planning of RPs.

2. **External Monitoring Report:** This External Monitoring Report (EMR) is prepared for four subprojects namely:

- (i) Wastewater Treatment (Storm Drainage) subproject in Battambang;
- (ii) Wastewater Treatment (Storm Drainage) subproject in Poi Pet;
- (iii) Wastewater Treatment (Storm Drainage) Subproject in Bavet; and
- (iv) Urban Roads subproject in Bavet.

3. The EMR presents the review and validation of URP/DRP implementation which reflects their overall progress from March to May 2019. The EMR also offers review and analysis of the implementation of URP/DRPs, compensation payment of the APs by category of the impacts including the allowances and makes recommendations to address the issues that were identified. During the monitoring period, only the URP for Wastewater Treatment (Storm Drainage) subproject in Poi Pet town was under implementation as it was approved on 25 January 2019. The DRPs of the remaining three subprojects were still under preparation for prior approval by IRC and ADB.

1.2 PROJECT BACKGROUND

4. The RGC's goal in transport sector is to establish an efficient road network that maximizes the contribution of transport sector to economic growth, poverty reduction, regional cooperation and globalization. In line with the goals and policy objectives, the RGC has put the highest priority in the improvement and rehabilitation of the road infrastructure. Therefore, the RGC has obtained a loan and grant from Asian Development Bank (ADB) to finance the Greater Mekong Corridor Sub-region Southern Economic Corridor Town Development Project (the Project). The Project will enhance the competitiveness of town along the Southern Economic Corridor. The project will transform the corridor town of Battambang, Bavet, and Poi Pet into economic hubs by improving urban-environmental infrastructure and strengthening institutional capacities of provincial and local authorities through the implementation of the following subprojects in each town:

- **Battambang Town:** Wastewater Treatment (Storm Drainage), flood control, and materials recovery facility;
- **Bavet Town:** Wastewater Treatment (Storm Drainage), material recovery facility, and urban roads; and
- **Poi Pet Town:** Wastewater Treatment (Storm Drainage), Poi Pet solid waste landfill and material recovery.

5. Inter-ministerial Resettlement Committee (IRC) through its Working Group (IRC-WG) is representing RGC in the implementation of the updated resettlement plan (URP) and detailed resettlement plans (DRPs). The IRC-WG is assisted by the provincial resettlement subcommittee working groups (PRSC-WG) in the implementation the URP/DRPs. The General Department of Resettlement (GDR) of the Ministry of Economy and Finance is the lead agency directly responsible for the preparation, implementation, monitoring and reporting of the URP/DRPs. For the URP/DRPs under this Project, the Resettlement Department 2 of GDR assisted by the IRC-WG and PRSC-WG is responsible for the implementation of the LAR activities under the URP/DRPs.

6. The EMO activities will be carried out for a period of one year and six months (18 months) on a quarterly basis. The quarterly EMR will summarize the findings of the EMO, including (a) progress of URP/DRP implementation, including any deviations from the provisions of the URP/DRP; (b) identification of problems or issues and recommended solutions to resolve issues in a timely manner; (c) identification of issues of vulnerable people as relevant; and (d) report on progress of the follow-up issues and problems identified in the previous EMR.

7. Documents listed below were made available to the IED team without delay to avoid subsequent delay in the monitoring process. These documents were reviewed to understand project and subproject background, nature of subprojects, their impact, and to support the preparation of External Monitoring Tools.

- Resettlement Plan
- Updated Resettlement Plan
(More detail information and explain about project progress (project start, do DMS, make contract and provide compensation steps) to affected people and target side authorities)
- Compensation Agreement
- Review the official complain in any level (GDR, province, district and contractor)
- Loan and Grant Agreements
- ADB Safeguard Policy Statement, June 2009.


1.3 SUBPROJECT DESCRIPTION

1.3.1 Wastewater Treatment (Storm Drainage) subproject in Battambang

8. The subproject is in 3 communes which are: i.) Anlong Vil in Sangke District, ii.) Prek Preah Sdach and iii.) Rattanak both in Battambang municipality. The Battambang Storm Drain component of Wastewater Treatment Subproject will be constructed at East side of the town, located at Rattanak and Preah Preah Sdach Communes. Total length of Storm Drain is approximately 13.093 Km, consisting of Pipe culvert diameter from 800mm to 1500mm and box culvert sizes from 1.75m with x 1.50m depth to 2.25m with x 1.75m depth, and including catch basin, manhole etc. The subproject also will construct the open earth canal length approximately 1.371Km and pipe culvert and box culvert crossing to the

properties. The designed storm drain is to be laid along unimproved large walkway and in middle of existing roads to minimize the impact and reduce the construction cost. The alignment of the storm drain is within along the ROW. Figure 1 illustrates the map of the Wastewater Treatment Subproject in Battambang


Figure 1: Map of Wastewater Treatment Facilities in Battambang



1.3.2 Wastewater Treatment (Storm Drainage) subproject in Poi Pet

9. The subproject involves the construction of 4 lines of storm drains in Poi Pet Town. All these storm drains will start from north of National Road No. 5, crossing the road and will end up at the stream border. Total length of the storm drain is approximately 2km. Their sizes are 2.0m x 1.5m and 3.0m x 2.0m made up of reinforced concrete box culverts. Construction of this storm drains will collect rainwater from the catchment area north of the town and discharge into the O' Chrov Stream to avoid incident of flooding that occurs annually, thus, protecting business and the other properties from the damages caused by the flash floods. Figure 2 illustrates the Map of the Wastewater Treatment Subproject in Poi Pet.


Figure 2: Map of Wastewater Treatment Facilities in Poi Pet



1.3.3 Wastewater Treatment (Storm Drainage) Subproject in Bavet

10. The subproject consists of the construction of three storm drainage lines of approximately 5km all starting or crossing the National Road No.1 (NR1) and discharging into the existing drains constructed along the NR1. Based on the detailed engineering design (DED), the storm drainage structure will be constructed under the existing road consisting of (i) box culverts ranging from 1.50m width x 1.50m deep to 3.00m width x 1.50m deep, (ii) trapezoidal concrete channels ranging from 2.00m width x 1.25m deep and 2.50m width x 1.25m deep, and (iii) trapezoidal earth canals. Manholes, water catch basins and drainage inlets will also be constructed. The inner width of the storm drains varies from 1.50m to 3.00m, and the COI varies from 3.80m to 10.30m. Figure 3 illustrates the Map of the Wastewater Treatment Subproject in Bavet

Figure 3: Map of Wastewater Treatment Facilities in Bavet



1.3.4 Urban Roads Subproject in Bavet

11. The subproject is in three (3) Communes namely (i) Ba Ti, (ii) Bavet and (iii) Chhak Mteess in Bavet town. The subproject involves widening and improvement of 9km of National Highway No. 01 from chainage 159km to 165km in Bavet town. The existing two lanes road will be widened and upgraded to four lanes with a median constructed in the center for streetlight installation. Pedestrian walkway and footpaths will be constructed along the upgraded length of the road. Figure 4 illustrates the layout map of the urban road subproject in Bavet town.

Figure 4: Layout Map of the Urban Roads Subproject



2. Resettlement Impacts and Status of Implementation of DRPs

12. Tables 1-4 summarize the scope of resettlement impacts under each subproject and the status of the implementation land acquisition and involuntary resettlement (LAR) activities under them. During the monitoring period, only the URP for Wastewater Treatment (Storm Drainage) subproject in Poi Pet town was under implementation as it was approved on 25 January 2019. The DRPs for the remaining two (2) subprojects were not implemented as they were still under preparation. Therefore, the actions taken under most of the LAR activities have just been initiated and their progress of implementation could not be quantified. However, the status of LAR implementation in Tables 1-4 are reported from data of the approved URP/DRPs. These tables will be used to update the progress of implementation of the LAR activities in the subsequent quarterly EMRs.

13. For subproject in Poi Pet, there are 65AHs (97%) out of 67 have satisfactorily received compensation and the other 2 AHs (3%) have not yet received the compensation because one post-police request IRC-WG to rebuild the affected asset instead which it may not directly get compensation by case and one remaining AHs still complain the affected side which he requested to change side affected. As IRC-WG already have public meeting by consultation from village to provincial sector now. Therefore, it is waiting for processing from provincial decision. For wastewater subproject in Bavet, there are 445 AHs (100%) have satisfactorily received compensation and there is no any other complain. However, for wastewater treatment in Battambang and Urban Roads Subproject at Bavet subprojects, the AHs are yet to be provided with their compensation offers of their entitlements as the DRPs were still under preparation.

Table 1: Summary of Implementation Status of LAR under URP for Wastewater Treatment (Storm Damage) Subproject in Battambang

No.	Impact as in URP	Numbers and Quantities Impacted			Implementation Status (September – November 2019)				Remarks
		Qty (m²) or Trees	Total No. AHs	Payable Payment (\$)	Payment Made		Balance		
					No. of AHs	Amount	No. of AHs	Amount	
Draft URP Section II									
1	Land Impacts								
	Land Compensation	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	No impact
2	Non-land Impacts								
	Affected Houses	39.29	3	3,644.25	Work in progress	Work in progress	Work in progress	Work in progress	
	Affected Stores cum Living Quarters	128.53	9	3,431.35	Work in progress	Work in progress	Work in progress	Work in progress	
	Affected Stores	497.85	22	11,444.24	Work in progress	Work in progress	Work in progress	Work in progress	
	Affected Secondary Structures	11,478.84		214,510.97	Work in progress	Work in progress	Work in progress	Work in progress	
	Affected Crops	876	2	131.40	Work in progress	Work in progress	Work in progress	Work in progress	
	Affected Trees	82		3,196.50	Work in progress	Work in progress	Work in progress	Work in progress	
3	Loss of Income								
	Temporary Loss of Business Income from Small Stores and Stores cum Living Quarters		31	1,150.00	Work in progress	Work in progress	Work in progress	Work in progress	
4	Impact on Vulnerable AHs and AHs with Significant Impacts		56	9,000.00	Work in progress	Work in progress	Work in progress	Work in progress	
5	One-time Cash Assistance								
	Stores, Stores cum Living Quarters and Main Houses		34	1,122.00	Work in progress	Work in progress	Work in progress	Work in progress	

	to be rebuilt.								
6	Allowances								
	Resettlement (shifting back house, store cum living quarters and stores.		34	1,360.00	Work in progress	Work in progress	Work in progress	Work in progress	

Table 2: Summary of Implementation Status of LAR under DRP for Wastewater Treatment (Storm Damage) Subproject in Poi Pet

No.	Impact as in DRP	Numbers and Quantities Impacted			Implementation Status (September – November 2019)				Remarks
		Qty (m ²) or Nos.	Total No. AHs	Payable Payment (\$)	Payment Made		Balance		Compensation progress
					No. of AHs	Amount (\$)	No. of AHs	Amount (\$)	
DRP Section II									
1	Land Impacts	1,874.72	7	632,711.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	As IRC had been working on this process, there are 65 AHs (97%) had satisfactorily received compensation. For the other 2 AHs are still on progressing and will be follow-up more to next quarter.
	Residential Land Compensation	1,874.72	7	632,711.00	5	235,406.00	2	397,305.00	
2	Non-land Impacts								
	Main structures	747.88	15	92,533.52	14	81,528.52	1	11,005.00	93% of AHs received payments which one post-police request IRC-WG to rebuild the affected asset instead by it may not receive the compensation by case. So, by next quarter, the construction will start and do for him. As still the same in this quarter, this case is still on progressing and will be follow-up more to next quarter.
p	Stores	243.34	1	4,497.18	1	4,497.18	0	0.00	No impact
	Secondary Structures	2,766.62	62	53,972.87	60	41,806.52	2	12,166.35	100% complete
3	Affected Crops and Trees								
	Affected Crops	0	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	No impact
	Affected Trees	43	8	991.00	8	991.00	0	0.00	
4	Impact on Livelihood								100% complete

	Temporary loss of Income from Small Businesses		16	800.00	16	800.00	0	0.00	100% complete
5	Vulnerable AHs and AHs with significant impacts		7	700.00	7	700.00	0	0.00	
6	One-time Cash Assistance								100% complete
	Structures <60m ²		13	429.00	13	429.00	0	0.00	100% complete
	Structures >60m ²		5	500.00	5	500.00	0	0.00	100% complete
	Relocation		1	200.00	1	200.00	0	0.00	100% complete
7	Allowances								
	Transport Allowance		19	790.00	18	890	1	100	94% of AHs received the allowances. For the other one is not yet received because he is still complaining for affected side. So now, it is still processing in provincial sector progressing. As still the same in this quarter, this case is still on progressing and will be follow-up more to next quarter.
	Disruption Allowance		19	1,640.00	19	1,640.00	0	0.00	100% complete
8	Income Restoration Program		1	300.00	1	300.00	0	0.00	100% complete

Table 3: Summary of Implementation Status of LAR under DRP for Wastewater Treatment (Storm Damage) Subproject in Bavet

No.	Impact as in DRP	Numbers and Quantities Impacted			Implementation Status (September – November 2019)				Remarks
		Qty (m ²) or Nos.	Total No. AHs	Payable Payment (\$)	Payment Made		Balance		
					No. of AHs	Amount (\$)	No. of AHs	Amount (\$)	
Draft DRP Section II									
1	Land Impacts	448.81	5	151,347.80	5	0.00	5	151,347.80	
	Residential Land Compensation	448.81	5	151,347.80	5	151,347.80	0.00	0.00	
2	Non-land Impacts		125	150,963.01					
	Affected Main Structures	139.20	1	10,573.63	1	10,573.63	0.00	0.00	
	Affected Secondary Structures	3,701.88	121	129,331.25	121	129,331.25	0.00	0.00	
	Affected Stores	25.500	3	11,058.13	3	11,058.13	0.00	0.00	
3	Impact on Crops and Trees								
	Crops	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	No impact
	Trees	192	69	5,342.00	69	5,342.00	0.00	0.00	
4	One-time Cash Assistance		11	599.00	11	599.00	0.00	0.00	
	Vulnerable AH		1	100.00	1	100.00	0.00	0.00	
	Rebuild Main Structure		1	100.00	1	100.00	0.00	0.00	
	Rebuild Store		3	99.00	3	99.00	0.00	0.00	
	Temporary Loss of Income		4	200.00	4	200.00	0.00	0.00	
5	Allowances		37	3,145.00	37	3,145.00	0.00	0.00	

	Dismantle Main Structure		1	40.00	1	40.00	0.00	0.00	
	Transport		4	1,540.00	4	1,540.00	0.00	0.00	
	Temporary Disruption to Business		30	990.00	30	990.00	0.00	0.00	
	One Month Profit Loss		1	35.00	1	35.00	0.00	0.00	
	Loss of Rental Income		1	540.00	1	540.00	0.00	0.00	
6	Allowances for Rental AHs		12	960.00	12	960.00	0.00	0.00	
	Transport		6	420.00	6	420.00	0.00	0.00	
	Disruption		6	540.00	6	540.00	0.00	0.00	

Table 4: Summary of Implementation Status of LAR under DRP for Urban Roads Subproject in Bavet

No.	Impact as in DRP	Numbers and Quantities Impacted			Implementation Status (September – November 2019)				Remarks
		Qty (m ²) or Nos.	Total No. AHs	Payable Payment (\$)	Payment Made		Balance		
					No. of AHs	Amount	No. of AHs	Amount (\$)	
Draft DRP Section II									
1	Land Impacts	0	0	0.00	0	0	0	0	
	Land Compensation	0	0	0.00	0	0	0.00	0.00	No impact
2	Non-land Impacts		509	376.319.30					
	Affected Main Structures	103.50	7	3,200.50	Work in progress	Work in progress	Work in progress	Work in progress	
	Affected Stores	910.51	73	28,959.66	Work in progress	Work in progress	Work in progress	Work in progress	
	Temporary Structures	29,062.76	429	344,159.14	Work in progress	Work in progress	Work in progress	Work in progress	
3	Impact on Crops and Trees	227	114	7,244.50	Work in progress	Work in progress	Work in progress	Work in progress	
	Crops	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	No impact
	Trees	227	114	7,244.50	Work in progress	Work in progress	Work in progress	Work in progress	
4	One-time Cash Assistance		161	11,950.00	Work in progress	Work in progress	Work in progress	Work in progress	
	Vulnerable AHs		45	4,500.00	Work in progress	Work in progress	Work in progress	Work in progress	
	Rebuild Partially Affected Structures		33	3,300.00	Work in progress	Work in progress	Work in progress	Work in progress	
	Rebuild Stores cum Living Quarters and Stores		83	4,150.00	Work in progress	Work in progress	Work in progress	Work in progress	
5	Allowances		319	13,209.00	Work in progress	Work in progress	Work in progress	Work in progress	
	Dismantle		83	3,320.00	Work in progress	Work in progress	Work in progress	Work in progress	

	Temporary Loss of Income		73	3,650.00	Work in progress	Work in progress	Work in progress	Work in progress	
	Disruption		80	2,800.00	Work in progress	Work in progress	Work in progress	Work in progress	
	Shifting		83	2,739.00	Work in progress	Work in progress	Work in progress	Work in progress	

3. Fifth Quarterly Findings

3.1 Household Accessibility by EMO

14. As the result at Poi Pet town, monitoring survey was conducted 21% in this quarter, same as the last quarter. For Battambang subproject, the surveyed AHs are remained the same (21%) as in fourth and fifth quarter was 22%, and for Bavet Subprojects it decreased from 21% to 18% during the fifth quarterly monitoring survey. Therefore, 21% (185/892) is the total random selection of affected household among DMS data (892) were monitored and observed their impacts after the sub-projects' implementation. Table 5 summarizes comparison of AHs surveyed from the third to fifth Quarter Monitoring periods.

Table 5-AH Number and Percentage Comparison between 3rd to 5th Quarterly Monitoring Survey

Province	Cities	DMS AH	Q3 AH	Q4 AH	Q5 AH	Q3 AH%	Q4 AH%	Q5 AH%
B. Meanchey	Poi Pet	67	13	14	12	19%	21%	18%
Battambang	Battambang	362	75	75	78	21%	21%	22%
Svay Reang	Bavet	463	91	98	95	19%	21%	21%
Grand Total		892	174	187	185	20%	21%	21%

15. During the monitoring survey, affected household heads (AHHs) were the main persons met by the EMO. A total of 134 AHHs or 72% were randomly interviewed during the quarter compared to 136 AHHs or 73% during fifth quarter. Spouse interviews were for 28 AHHs or 15% compared to 30 AHHs or 16% during last quarter while housewife interviews remained the same at 1% for both quarters. The interviews with children (their age are at least 18 years old) were 5% is the same as last quarter while that with sister/brother remained the same as well at 3% from previous quarter. In total the survey number and data monitoring decrease from 187 AHs to 185 AHs.

Table 6 summarizes total number of AHs for the comparison of relationship monitoring during the first and Fourth quarter.

Household Relationship	Number of AHs Q2	Number of AHs Q3	Number of AHs Q4	Number of AHs Q5	% of AHs Q2	% of AHs Q3	% of AHs Q4	% of AHs Q5
Head of AHs	135	134	136	134	78%	75%	73%	72%
Spouse	23	28	30	28	13%	16%	16%	15%
Housewife	1	1	2	2	1%	1%	1%	1%
Children	8	8	9	10	5%	4%	5%	5%
Sister or Brother	4	4	5	5	2%	2%	3%	3%
Parent	3	3	5	4	2%	2%	3%	2%
Nephew/Niece in law	0	0	1	2	0%	0%	1%	1%
Grand Total	174	178	187	185				


Table 7-AHs Condition Classification bases on Vulnerable and Non-vulnerable Group

AHs Condition	Percentage of AHs Condition
Vulnerable Group	20%
Non-vulnerable Group	80%

3.2 Income Level of Affected Household

16. During the monitoring period, income of the 185 AHs were assessed (Figure 5). It was found that 17 AHs or 10% with income level less than \$ 100.15/month are poor. Data on income analysis showed that 4 AHs or 2% have income levels less than \$100/month compared to 3 AHs or 2% during the last quarter. The income bracket of \$201-\$400 accounted for the highest number of AHs i.e. 45 AHs or 24% which remained increased from the last quarter. The medium to high levels of monthly income bracket of \$401-\$500, \$501-\$700, \$701-\$1000, \$1001-\$2000, \$2001-\$3000) of 112 AHs or 61%, the income levels have increased between 1%. However, the higher levels of monthly income bracket \$3001-\$5000, \$5001-\$7000, >\$7000) remained not much unchanged from last quarter.

Figure 5-Income Classification



17. The EMO analyzed the average income per month by each income sources and compared them with that of the last quarter (Table 8). There were much increase in the average income for Child Support, Remittance, Manufacture and Transportation from 18\$ to 213\$ in last quarter. Compared to last quarter, the average income for wages/salary increased by \$80, trading by \$34 whereas, agriculture is decreased by \$9. However, there was an increase average monthly income in other categories which comprise self-

employment, technician and unskilled laborer by \$11 from last quarter. The main causes of the decreases have been contributed by the constructions works affecting the various sources of income.

For this quarter, there is a good sign of monthly income of AHs which there are not much decrease earning if it compares from previous quarter.

For agriculture income are decreased in this quarter because of less raining season which it also low rice produce collected, lower rice product prices, and still find market target side.

Table 8-Average Income by each Income Sources for Q4 and Q5 Comparison

Source of Income	Average Income Q4 (\$/month)	Average Income Q5 (\$/month)	Variance (\$/month)
Wages/Salary	580	660	80
Child Support	233	312	79
Trading	1008	1355	347
Agriculture	659	650	-9
Manufacture	500	518	18
Remittance	450	578	128
Transportation	171	384	213
Others	709	698	-11

3.3 Monitoring of Consultation and Information Disclosure

18. A total of 85% of all AHs participated in public consultation meetings or 15% more than last quarter. It was assessed that most APs are aware about their entitlements included in their contracts for compensation under each subproject. The information gathered from the consultation meetings, the APs knew about the resettlement impacts, compensation payments, and details of their entitlement for compensation in the contracts. In contrast, 15% of APs who didn't participate in public consultation meetings were due to the following:

- About 8% of APs were busy with prior personal commitments.
- About 2.3% of APs were not at home during public meeting.
- About 2.7% of APs were elderly or sick and could not participate.
- About 2%, of the APs didn't know about the meeting and assumed no meeting will be conducted in their area.

Even though 15% of APs didn't join the meeting but still they are knew, understand and clear about entitlement of compensation progress by their neighbor and from home visit of chief village information sharing.

***** For PIB information, AHs have been received of compensation progress such as**

1. First agree of compensation rate from official Replacement Cost study data
2. Then IRC-WC will do official contract of their affected assets (have to calculate it into cashes)
3. The date of received the compensation, for main of house affected, shop, store, lands and other structure need to give to constructor to do their works (about one month)


4. If there are any complain, AHs can progress it to chief of village to make official letter (it may have time about 15 days),
5. If it is not deal, it will progress to commune sector (it also takes 15 days too)
6. If it is not to find solution, it will continue more to provincial sector about 30 days then if it is not deal again, AHs have right to progress it to the court.

3.4 Satisfaction of AHs with contract and compensation progress

19. Out of 185 AHs or 21% conducted for the monitoring survey in the 5th quarter, all the AHs confirmed that they will work closely with the IRC and PRSC working groups to have the contracts signed and they also accepted the rates for compensation as they were carried out by an independent value prevailing at market rates under the replacement cost study.

20. Regarding the satisfaction of the AHs, 8% or 15 AHs at Battambang and Bavet confirmed “Very Satisfied” with the infrastructure improvement and the measurements of loss of assets were done correctly. A total of 72% of AHs reported that they were satisfied. Among this percentage, 72 AHs feedback was gathered from Bavet, 49 AHs from Battambang, and 7 AHs from Poi Pet. A total of 20 AHs from Bavet, 13 AHs from Battambang, and 5 AHs from Poi Pet reported average satisfaction. However, 1% or single AH from Battambang and Bavet expressed dissatisfaction because of construction progress such as muddy and water collections during rain and dusty when it was dry. Moreover, they also complained about the quality of new concrete floor not as good as before, and their businesses were affected because of the stockpile of the soil after construction that was resolved after complaint to village chief. Moreover, even from AHs from Bavet were complained bit late of contraction but still they are satisfied with their more improvement. They are happy to receive the compensation now.

Figure 6-Satisfaction of AHs for their payment progress of their Affected Assets and Construction works



With a good cooperation between EMO, GDR, MPWT/PWU and the contractor, we’re discussed on the complaints raised by the AHs for resolutions by provide the information as below:

1. More detail information and explanation about project progress (project start, DMS, contract signing and compensation steps) to affected people and target side authorities
2. Respond to the complaints by fasten the contraction progress
3. GDR, MPWT/PWU and contractor have to check quality of construction

3.5 Compensation Payment Progress

21. During the external monitoring survey for the quarter from September to November 2019, 97% of the AHs under the URP for Wastewater Treatment (Storm Drainage) subproject in Poi Pet town and (100%) of Wastewater Treatment (Storm Drainage) subproject in Bavet towns are also received compensation payments. On the other hand, there are no any compensation payments were made under any LAR activities under the 2 (2) remaining subprojects in Battambang and Bavet as their DRPs were still at the preparatory stage.

3.7 Grievances Redress Mechanism

22. Regarding progress of contract signing process, there's no complaint raised but AHs expressed their concerns about construction progress such as concrete floor quality after construction and stockpile of land impact. For the contract signing process since the third quarter, the compensation has been completed 97% for Wastewater Treatment (Storm Drainage) subproject in Poi Pet and Bavet (100%) while the Wastewater Treatment (Storm Drainage) subproject in Battambang and Urban Roads Subproject Bavet are yet to be started. Regarding the public consultation meetings, 85% of AHs participated, while 15% could not participate due to various reasons. During consultations the AHs proposed the following:

- Accelerate the process of town development construction to minimize or avoid loss of business income;
- Accelerate the removal of stockpile soil and level the site;
- Make efficient sewage system capacity to avoid any overflows of sewage
- Sewage drainage system is not tidy enough to meet the town environmental standards.
- Grade the road pavement to remove bumps/roughness or to fill up the potholes to avoid water collection. Spray the road regularly during construction to minimize dust.

Because of construction progress, PGRC and constructors are trying more to deal of APs complaint of such as road quality, cut down of muddy and water collections during rain (by filled the soil into) and dusty when it was dry (spray water more regularly).

23. The provincial grievance redress committee (PGRC) has been established in Battambang, Banteay Meanchey and Svay Rieng provinces to address the complaints of the AHs/APs through the grievance redress mechanism process outlined in the URP/DRPs. During the monitoring period, a total of 12 AHs (6%) out of the 185 AHs raised complaints for resolutions (Table 9). There were 11 case solved with positive feedback and there is one remaining case which has been accepted and discussed with AH. The EMO will follow up this case in the next quarter.

Here are the regulation of PGRCs' members Establishment of EMO Corridor Towns

No	Name	Position	Agency
1.	H.E Men Vibol	Provincial Deputy Governor	Bavet, Svay Rieng
2.	Mr. Lim Seang Heng	Deputy Governor of Bavet Municipal	Bavet, Svay Rieng
3.	Mr. Kam Rithy	PIU	Bavet, Svay Rieng
4.	Mr. Sok Sophal	PIU from DPWT	Bavet, Svay Rieng
5.	Mr. Keo Sarang	Coordinator Bavet Municipal	Bavet, Svay Rieng
6.	Mr. Chann Kokuong	Working group	MLMUPC, Poipet, BMC
7.	Mr. Min Synaka	Working group	MLMUPC, Poipet, BMC
8.	Mr.Vong Rada	Working group	MPWT
9.	Mr. Chea Sophanet	Working group	MPWT
10.	Mr. Ly Sary	Head (Vice Governor)	Poipet, BMC
11.	Mr. Boeung Kimseung	Director of PDEF	Poipet, BMC
12.	Mr. Chea Sovanthuon	Deputy director of DPWT	Poipet, BMC
13.	Mr. Prakpolin	Director of LMUPC	Poipet, BMC
14.	Mr. Ngan Chinda	Provincial Police	Poipet, BMC
15.	Mr. Keo Raksmei	Provincial Hall	Poipet, BMC
16.	Mr. Khatt Thea	Poipet Hall	Poipet, BMC
17.	Mr. Sam Sophol	Provincial Governor	Battambang, BTB
18.	Mr. Nhorn Sokhara	Provincial Governor	Battambang, BTB
19.	Mr. Hok Samnang	Provincial Governor	Battambang, BTB
20.	Mr. Song Soeng	Chief office of Municipal	Battambang, BTB
21.	Mr. Norn Chek	Chief of office of land Urban Management	Battambang, BTB

Table 9- Summary of grievances received and resolved within the reporting period

Number	Date grievance received	Address	Name of AHs	Issue	Feedback	GRC member	Remark
Poi Pet Subproject: Wastewater Treatment Subproject "Storm Drainage"							
1.	11 February 2019	Poi Pet village, Poi Pet commune, Pio Pet city, B. Mean Chey province	Chea Lyna (Female)	She requested to have \$600 as the compensation of concrete floor loss	Her case was accepted by IRC-WG and the solution was progressing with the positive feedback. By follow-up to this quarter, she could accept the compensated for \$300 of her concrete floor loss of her affected assets after explained in more detail from IRC-WG and EMO team. So, by this quarter, her case is already deal with IRC-WG.	GDR	Solved
2.	05 March 2019	Ou Chrov village commune, Poi Pet city, B. Mean Chey province	Som Sameth (Male)	Request contractor to do as possible	As reply to him and all AHs in Poi Pet, IRC-WG are trying to improve the construction progress as fast as possible.	IRC-WC	Solved
3.	23 April 2019	Poi Pet village, Poi Pet commune, Poi Pet city, B. Mean Chey province	Khim Saveoun (Female)	She is not satisfied with the compensation of the affected shop being proposed. She also didn't get compensation for the loss of income from the impact on business. Her average business income was around \$320 per month.	Dissatisfied compensation was occurred because cost of compensation was not fully counted as full price when she has done her shop's yard firstly. But after she received explanation from IRC-WC, the AH agreed to the compensation. This case, IED had been join for hearing and notice the complained. It is already deal.	IRC-WC	Solved

Bavet Subproject: Bavet Urban Road Subproject							
4.	21 February 2019	Ta Boeb village, Bavet commune, Bavet city, Svay Rieng province	Teb Vanna (Male)	As contraction activities is bit take too long which it is affected to his business	So, he suggests us to do as fast as possible. After IRC-WG and EMO team met him and explain, he could understand now. In adding request to spray the water more regular as it is too dusty. As by follow-up this quarter, there is no more complain from him	IRC-WC	Solved
5.	20 February 2019	Bavet Kandal village, Bavet commune, Bavet city, Svay Rieng province	Chhun Saroeun (Female)	As construction activities is bit take too long which it is affected to her business	So, she suggests us to do as fast and request for compensation as soon as possible. As by result now, the compensation provided to all AHs. Therefore, there is no more complain from her anymore.	IRC-WC	Solved
6.	26 March 2019	Ta Pov village, Bavet commune, Bavet city, Svay Rieng province	Sok Chanthay (Male)	He did not receive compensation payment and copy of PIB.	He was advised that after the approval of the DRP by ADB and the budget by IRC/MEF, the compensation payment will be made. He was assured that a copy of the PIB will be provide prior during the consultation prior to the contract offer. This case, IED had been join for hearing and notice the complained. It is already deal.	GDR	Solved
7.	26 March 2019	Ta Boeb village, Bavet commune, Bavet city, Svay	Prom Sophal (Male)	Sewage drainage box culvert is constructed in front of his house and it's	The complained was referred to civil works contractor and MPWT for technical review. After the	MPWT and contractor	Solved

		Rieng province		higher than road	technical review, MPWT explained to the AH on the location of the sewage drainage box culvert as it will have no hygienic threats and the AH understood the need such construction. Therefore, this case is already solved.		
8.	08 September 2019	Ta Boeb village, Bavet commune, Bavet city, Svay Rieng province	Yin Davy (Female)	Request for construction to work faster with good quality of asphalt pavement road	His request was accepted by IRC-WG and will reply positive feedback in next quarter.	IRC-WC	progress
Battambang Subproject: Wastewater Treatment (Storm Damage)							
9.	14 February 2019	Beak Chan Thmey village, Prek Presdach commune, Battambang town, Battambang province	Kur Bunyen (Male)	Late built sewage system. And make new rebuilt of concrete floor is not good as his previous	His case was accepted by IRC-WG. After IRC-WG team met and explain him, he could understand by waiting for compensation then he will rebuild it by himself. Moreover, there is no anymore complain from him. This case, IED had been join for hearing and notice the complained. It is already deal.	IRC-WG	Solved
10.	14 February 2019	Beak Chan Thmey village, Prek Presdach commune, Battambang town, Battambang province	Heang Hong (Male)	Delay in building of sewage system. And no side of gateway across into his house which he lost his customers.	So, he suggested to EMO team to expedite construction works. After IRC-WG team met him and explained, he understood which now there is no more complain from him. This case, IED had been join for hearing and notice the complained. It is already deal.	MPWT and contractor	Solved

11.	18 October 2019	Beak Chan Thmey village, Prek Presdach commune, Battambang town, Battambang province	Ly Meng (Male)	Late built sewage system which it is bit affected to his business.	So, he suggests us to do as fast and request for compensation as soon as possible. After IRC-WG team met her and explain, he could under-stand now which there is no more complain from him anymore. Moreover, the contraction team try to improve their work as soon as possible to AH comment. This case, IED had been join for hearing and notice the complained. It is already deal.	IRC-WG	Solved
12.	11 November 2019	Romchek Ben village, Rattanak commune, Battambang town, Battambang province	Srey Mum (Female)	Request for construction to work faster with good quality of asphalt pavement road	Her request was accepted by IRC-WG and already reply positive feedback and already deal in this quarter. This case, IED had been join for hearing and notice the complained.	IRC-WG	Solved

4. CONCLUSION

24. The 5th external monitoring survey of 21% of the AHs under Battambang, Bavet, and Poi Pet subproject URP/DRPs was conducted during the monitoring period, the survey was conducted on 185 AHHs by direct interviews and discussions. Among them, 134 AHHs or 72% were randomly interviewed during the quarter compared to 136 AHHs or 73% in the fourth quarter. Spouse interviews were for 28 AHHs or 15% compared to 30 AHHs or 16% during last quarter while housewife interviews remained the same at 1% for both quarters. The interviews with children (their age are at least 18 years old) were 5%, the same as last quarter while that with sister/brother remained the same as well at 3% from previous quarter. In total, the survey number and monitoring data decreased from 187 AHs to 185 AHs.

25. On the economic situation of AHs, the income of 4 AHs are below under \$100/month which was less than the fourth and fifth quarter (Q4=3 and Q4=4) while the medium income earning from \$200/month to \$2000/month increased but the high income more than \$3000/month increased when compared to previous quarter. The analysis of income between regular group and vulnerable group indicates that the regular group had higher and multiple income sources while the vulnerable group had only four sources of income namely wage/salary, trading, remittance, and other.

26. During the external monitoring survey for the quarter from September to November 2019, 97% of the AHs under the URP for Wastewater Treatment (Storm Drainage) subproject in Poi Pet town and (100%) of Wastewater Treatment (Storm Drainage) subproject in Bavet towns received compensation payments. On the other hand, there are no any compensation payments were made under any LAR activities under the 2 (2) remaining subprojects in Battambang and Bavet as their DRPs were still at the preparatory stage.

27. Moreover, for monitoring period, there are 12 AHs (6%) out of the 185 AHs raised complaints for resolutions (Table 9) and they have been follow-up and discussed with IRC-WG to give the positive feedback to affected people. As the result, there are 11 cases have been solved with AHs after they got explained from IRC-WG team and EMO also joined to hear, give some suggestion/comment and notice with good solution.

28. **For construction process:** 3 subprojects such as Poi Pet, Bavet and Battambang (Wastewater Treatment Subproject “Storm Drainage”) are in process except the Bavet Urban Roads which is still in the preparation stage.

29. Summary of URP implementation status

Subproject	Planned in the URP			Actual as implemented			Number of AHs with pending compensation	Vulnerable AHs with pending compensation
	Number of AHs	Incl. vulnerable AHs	Compensation and entitlements in USD	AHs received compensation	Incl. vulnerable AHs	Amount USD		
Battambang	362	56	Work in progress	Work in progress	56	Work in progress	Work in progress	Work in progress
Poipet	67	7	\$790,064.57	65	7	\$790,064.57	2 AHs	None
Bavet	463	1	\$331,551.31	463	1	\$331,551.31	None AH	None
Total	892	64	\$1,121,615.88	528	64	\$1,121,615.88	2 AHs	None

RECOMMENDATION

30. Lesson learnt from AHs to request for improvements both construction and compensation progress:

- Accelerate the construction process to avoid or minimize the impacts to businesses.
- In term of business impact, IRC-WG/DMS team shall double check on the compensation payment to business compensation. (To make sure that AHs could receive these compensation base on their business affected)
- Accelerate the process of payment of compensation to AHs at under all the URP/DRPs.
- Project implementing supervisor shall work closely with contractor in providing urgent response to issue of high sewage drainage box culvert and house frontage concrete pavement construction.

ANNEX A: List of Target Sides for Interviewing in 5th Quarter 2019

HH Name	Village	Commune	District	Province
Morn Phary	Bek Chan Thmey	Preak Pre Sdach	Battambang	Battambang
Kim Sophae	Ou Chrev	Poi Pet	Poi Pet	Banteay Meanchey
Som Sameth	Ou Chrev	Poi Pet	Poi Pet	Banteay Meanchey
Eam Vonny	Ou Chrev	Poi Pet	Poi Pet	Banteay Meanchey
Son Ry	Ou Chrev	Poi Pet	Poi Pet	Banteay Meanchey
Klot Chanthol	Ou Chrev	Poi Pet	Poi Pet	Banteay Meanchey
Veth Sovannara	Poi Pet	Poi Pet	Poi Pet	Banteay Meanchey
Eam Neang	Poi Pet	Poi Pet	Poi Pet	Banteay Meanchey
Sang Viraeak	Bek Chan Thmey	Preak Pre Sdach	Battambang	Battambang
Sok Then	Bek Chan Thmey	Preak Pre Sdach	Battambang	Battambang
Chin Kong	Bek Chan Thmey	Preak Pre Sdach	Battambang	Battambang
Am Bunat	Preak Pre Sdach	Preak Pre Sdach	Battambang	Battambang
Reav Visal	Preak Pre Sdach	Preak Pre Sdach	Battambang	Battambang
Keout Dara	Romchek Bey	Rattanak	Battambang	Battambang
Cheout Rouet	Romchek Bon	Rattanak	Battambang	Battambang
Ou Kimla	Romchek Bon	Rattanak	Battambang	Battambang
Chad Chorlyda	Romchek Pi	Rattanak	Battambang	Battambang
Sao Sek	Romchek Pi	Rattanak	Battambang	Battambang
Thorn Narith	Romchek Bon	Rattanak	Battambang	Battambang
Ty Bun	Romchek Pi	Rattanak	Battambang	Battambang
Am Phal	Romchek Pi	Rattanak	Battambang	Battambang
Keout Dara	Romchek Bon	Rattanak	Battambang	Battambang
Ty Bun	Romchek Bon	Rattanak	Battambang	Battambang
Heng Phalla	Romchek Bey	Rattanak	Battambang	Battambang
Tep Chamrouen	Romchek Pi	Rattanak	Battambang	Battambang
Chey Sok	Romchek Pi	Rattanak	Battambang	Battambang
Sok Kimsan	Romchek Pi	Rattanak	Battambang	Battambang
Son Vulthouen	Romchek Pi	Rattanak	Battambang	Battambang
Ly Thean Pao	Romchek Bon	Rattanak	Battambang	Battambang
Cheout Rouet	Romchek Bon	Rattanak	Battambang	Battambang
Srey Mum	Romchek Bon	Rattanak	Battambang	Battambang
Sok Sophun	Romchek Bey	Rattanak	Battambang	Battambang
Sok Deab	Bek Chan Thmey	Preak Pre Sdach	Battambang	Battambang
Lem Monghorng	Bek Chan Thmey	Preak Pre Sdach	Battambang	Battambang
Reav Visal	Preak Pre Sdach	Preak Pre Sdach	Battambang	Battambang
Kab Puon	Preak Pre Sdach	Preak Pre Sdach	Battambang	Battambang
Ty Bun	Romchek Bey	Rattanak	Battambang	Battambang
Keang Meng	Bavet Kandal	Bavet	Bavet	Svay Rieng
Som Saem	Bavet Kandal	Bavet	Bavet	Svay Rieng
Suos Sam Art	Bavet Ler	Bavet	Bavet	Svay Rieng
Sor Seyda	Bavet Ler	Bavet	Bavet	Svay Rieng
Sok Vaeng	Bavet Ler	Bavet	Bavet	Svay Rieng
Muoy Sophy	Bavet Ler	Bavet	Bavet	Svay Rieng
Sao Sithol	Tapouv	Bavet	Bavet	Svay Rieng

Peouk Krom	Tapouv	Bavet	Bavet	Svay Rieng
Som Sam Uk	Tapouv	Bavet	Bavet	Svay Rieng
So Vanthai	Taboeb	Bavet	Bavet	Svay Rieng
Yin Davy	Taboeb	Bavet	Bavet	Svay Rieng
Siv Sari	Taboeb	Bavet	Bavet	Svay Rieng
So Uk	Taboeb	Bavet	Bavet	Svay Rieng
Por Sam An	Taboeb	Bavet	Bavet	Svay Rieng
Por Sam An	Tapouv	Bavet	Bavet	Svay Rieng
So Kimsea	Tapouv	Bavet	Bavet	Svay Rieng
Nguon Seoung	Tapouv	Bavet	Bavet	Svay Rieng
Por Sam An	Tapouv	Bavet	Bavet	Svay Rieng
Khim Saroeun	Ou Chrev	Poi Pet	Poi Pet	Banteay Meanchey
Chim Peoun	Ou Chrev	Poi Pet	Poi Pet	Banteay Meanchey
Chea Heang	Ou Chrev	Poi Pet	Poi Pet	Banteay Meanchey
Siv Ty	Poi Pet	Poi Pet	Poi Pet	Banteay Meanchey
Kong Samnang	Poi Pet	Poi Pet	Poi Pet	Banteay Meanchey
Seng Sokhuy	Bek Chan Thmey	Preak Pre Sdach	Battambang	Battambang
Min Nang	Bek Chan Thmey	Preak Pre Sdach	Battambang	Battambang
Sok Deab	Bek Chan Thmey	Preak Pre Sdach	Battambang	Battambang
Lem Monghorng	Bek Chan Thmey	Preak Pre Sdach	Battambang	Battambang
Hat Seyha	Bek Chan Thmey	Preak Pre Sdach	Battambang	Battambang
Seng Sokhuy	Bek Chan Thmey	Preak Pre Sdach	Battambang	Battambang
Srey Saron	Tapouv	Bavet	Bavet	Svay Rieng
Chuon Phally	Tapouv	Bavet	Bavet	Svay Rieng
Rath Chenda	Tapouv	Bavet	Bavet	Svay Rieng
San Samnang	Tapouv	Bavet	Bavet	Svay Rieng
Sat Saram	Tapouv	Bavet	Bavet	Svay Rieng
Poch Son	Tapouv	Bavet	Bavet	Svay Rieng
Prom Chenda	Tapouv	Bavet	Bavet	Svay Rieng
Pech Sophorn	Tapouv	Bavet	Bavet	Svay Rieng
Yorng Channa	Tapouv	Bavet	Bavet	Svay Rieng
Sok Seng	Taboeb	Bavet	Bavet	Svay Rieng
Un Saray	Taboeb	Bavet	Bavet	Svay Rieng
Cheas Phally	Taboeb	Bavet	Bavet	Svay Rieng
Huot Sabem	Taboeb	Bavet	Bavet	Svay Rieng
Peou Kunthea	Taboeb	Bavet	Bavet	Svay Rieng
Kong Samnang	Taboeb	Bavet	Bavet	Svay Rieng
So Vanthai	Taboeb	Bavet	Bavet	Svay Rieng
Yin Davy	Taboeb	Bavet	Bavet	Svay Rieng
Siv Sari	Taboeb	Bavet	Bavet	Svay Rieng
So Uk	Taboeb	Bavet	Bavet	Svay Rieng
So Sakhorn	Bavet Ler	Bavet	Bavet	Svay Rieng
Yok Sophea	Bavet Ler	Bavet	Bavet	Svay Rieng
Veng Reth	Bavet Kandal	Bavet	Bavet	Svay Rieng
Sok Sophea	Bavet Kandal	Bavet	Bavet	Svay Rieng
Prom Chenda	Bavet Kandal	Bavet	Bavet	Svay Rieng

Chan Ratha	Bavet Ler	Bavet	Bavet	Svay Rieng
Chan Bol	Bavet Ler	Bavet	Bavet	Svay Rieng
Phat Sopheap	Bavet Ler	Bavet	Bavet	Svay Rieng
Pon Simon	Bavet Ler	Bavet	Bavet	Svay Rieng
Sum Vanny	Bavet Ler	Bavet	Bavet	Svay Rieng
Am Bunat	Preak Pre Sdach	Preak Pre Sdach	Battambang	Battambang
Reav Visal	Preak Pre Sdach	Preak Pre Sdach	Battambang	Battambang
Kab Puon	Preak Pre Sdach	Preak Pre Sdach	Battambang	Battambang
Aem Kimson	Preak Pre Sdach	Preak Pre Sdach	Battambang	Battambang
Sorn Somat	Preak Pre Sdach	Preak Pre Sdach	Battambang	Battambang
Yorng Chanson	Taboeb	Bavet	Bavet	Svay Rieng
Pin Deab	Taboeb	Bavet	Bavet	Svay Rieng
Hem Muoy	Taboeb	Bavet	Bavet	Svay Rieng
Sum Sophal	Taboeb	Bavet	Bavet	Svay Rieng
Si Tyra	Taboeb	Bavet	Bavet	Svay Rieng
So Phalla	Taboeb	Bavet	Bavet	Svay Rieng
Un Saray	Taboeb	Bavet	Bavet	Svay Rieng
Cheas Phally	Taboeb	Bavet	Bavet	Svay Rieng
Huot Sabem	Taboeb	Bavet	Bavet	Svay Rieng
Peou Kunthea	Taboeb	Bavet	Bavet	Svay Rieng
Kong Samnang	Taboeb	Bavet	Bavet	Svay Rieng
So Vanthai	Taboeb	Bavet	Bavet	Svay Rieng
Yin Davy	Taboeb	Bavet	Bavet	Svay Rieng
Siv Sari	Taboeb	Bavet	Bavet	Svay Rieng
So Uk	Taboeb	Bavet	Bavet	Svay Rieng
Yorng Chanson	Taboeb	Bavet	Bavet	Svay Rieng
Por Sam An	Taboeb	Bavet	Bavet	Svay Rieng
So Kimsea	Taboeb	Bavet	Bavet	Svay Rieng
Nguon Seoung	Taboeb	Bavet	Bavet	Svay Rieng
Por Sam An	Taboeb	Bavet	Bavet	Svay Rieng
Pov Rath	Taboeb	Bavet	Bavet	Svay Rieng
Keang Meng	Bavet Kandal	Bavet	Bavet	Svay Rieng
Som Saem	Bavet Kandal	Bavet	Bavet	Svay Rieng
Peouk Sophal	Bavet Kandal	Bavet	Bavet	Svay Rieng
Suos Phalla	Bavet Kandal	Bavet	Bavet	Svay Rieng
Veng Reth	Bavet Kandal	Bavet	Bavet	Svay Rieng
Sok Sophea	Bavet Kandal	Bavet	Bavet	Svay Rieng
Prom Chenda	Bavet Kandal	Bavet	Bavet	Svay Rieng
Chan Ratha	Bavet Kandal	Bavet	Bavet	Svay Rieng
Chan Bol	Bavet Kandal	Bavet	Bavet	Svay Rieng
Phat Sopheap	Bavet Kandal	Bavet	Bavet	Svay Rieng
Pon Simon	Bavet Kandal	Bavet	Bavet	Svay Rieng
Sum Vanny	Bavet Kandal	Bavet	Bavet	Svay Rieng
Kim Pao	Bavet Kandal	Bavet	Bavet	Svay Rieng
Pen Sam Art	Bavet Kandal	Bavet	Bavet	Svay Rieng
Keang Meng	Bavet Ler	Bavet	Bavet	Svay Rieng

Som Saem	Bavet Ler	Bavet	Bavet	Svay Rieng
Peouk Sophal	Bavet Ler	Bavet	Bavet	Svay Rieng
Suos Phalla	Bavet Ler	Bavet	Bavet	Svay Rieng
Veng Reth	Bavet Ler	Bavet	Bavet	Svay Rieng
Sok Sophea	Bavet Ler	Bavet	Bavet	Svay Rieng
Prom Chenda	Bavet Ler	Bavet	Bavet	Svay Rieng
Yin Vannary	Romchek Pi	Rattanak	Battambang	Battambang
Hy kea	Romchek Pi	Rattanak	Battambang	Battambang
Chad Chorlyda	Romchek Pi	Rattanak	Battambang	Battambang
Sao Sek	Romchek Pi	Rattanak	Battambang	Battambang
Heng Khun	Romchek Pi	Rattanak	Battambang	Battambang
Sok Yen	Romchek Pi	Rattanak	Battambang	Battambang
Am Phal	Romchek Bey	Rattanak	Battambang	Battambang
Thorn Narith	Romchek Bey	Rattanak	Battambang	Battambang
Ty Bun	Romchek Bey	Rattanak	Battambang	Battambang
Keout Dara	Romchek Bey	Rattanak	Battambang	Battambang
Heng Phalla	Romchek Bey	Rattanak	Battambang	Battambang
Tep Chamrouen	Romchek Bey	Rattanak	Battambang	Battambang
Chey Sok	Romchek Bey	Rattanak	Battambang	Battambang
Sok Kimsan	Romchek Bey	Rattanak	Battambang	Battambang
Son Vulthouen	Romchek Bey	Rattanak	Battambang	Battambang
Ly Thean Pao	Romchek Bey	Rattanak	Battambang	Battambang
Ou Kimla	Romchek Bon	Rattanak	Battambang	Battambang
Py Reaksmey	Romchek Bon	Rattanak	Battambang	Battambang
Nou Phanny	Romchek Bon	Rattanak	Battambang	Battambang
Teab Ry	Romchek Bon	Rattanak	Battambang	Battambang
Yem Try	Romchek Bon	Rattanak	Battambang	Battambang
Srey Mum	Romchek Bon	Rattanak	Battambang	Battambang
Sok Sophun	Romchek Bon	Rattanak	Battambang	Battambang
Kek Siyara	Romchek Bon	Rattanak	Battambang	Battambang
Heng Vannara	13 Makara	Preak Pre Sdach	Battambang	Battambang
Keat Ty	Taboeb	Bavet	Bavet	Svay Rieng
Sy Tera	Taboeb	Bavet	Bavet	Svay Rieng
Yim Moeung	Taboeb	Bavet	Bavet	Svay Rieng
Sov Rattank	Bavet Ler	Bavet	Bavet	Svay Rieng
Mul Ratha	Bavet Ler	Bavet	Bavet	Svay Rieng
Vel Naroun	Romchek Bey	Rattanak	Battambang	Battambang
Nul Chhorn	Romchek Bon	Rattanak	Battambang	Battambang
Hor Hout	Romchek Bon	Rattanak	Battambang	Battambang
Bon Pina	Romchek Bey	Rattanak	Battambang	Battambang

ANNEX B: External Monitoring Questionnaire

កម្រៃសំណួរ

Questionnaires compensation & construction Progress

External Monitoring EMO Corridor Town at Bavet, Poi Pet and Battambang Province

លេខបញ្ជីសំណួរ/ Questionnaire No:

កាលបរិច្ឆេទ/Date:/...../ 2019

PK# :

ស្រុក /District:

ភូមិ/Village :

ខេត្ត/Province:

ឃុំ/Commune :

អ្នកសម្ភាសន៍/Interviewer:

I. ព័ត៌មានអំពីអ្នកដែលត្រូវរុះរើ គ្រួសារដែលរងផលប៉ះពាល់ (Identification of the Displaced Person (DP) / Affected Household (AH))

[1]. ឈ្មោះមេគ្រួសារ(Name of head of household):

[2]. ឈ្មោះអ្នកផ្តល់សម្ភាសន៍(Name of Respondent):

[3]. តើអ្នកមានទំនាក់ទំនងអ្វីជាមួយមេគ្រួសារ? What is your relationship to the household head?

1. ☐ មេគ្រួសារ (household head)

6. ☐ ឪពុកម្តាយ (parent)

2. ☐ ប្តី/ប្រពន្ធ (spouse)

7. ☐ ឪពុកម្តាយក្មេក (parent-in-law)

3. ☐ មេផ្ទះ (housewife)

8. ☐ បងស្រី/បងប្រុសថ្លៃ(brother/sister-in-law)

4. ☐ កូន (children)

9. ☐ កូនប្រុស/កូនស្រី(nephew/niece)

5. ☐ បងស្រី/បងប្រុស (sister or brother in-law)

10. ☐ កូនប្រុស/កូនស្រីថ្លៃ (nephew/niece-in-law)

[4]. លេខទូរស័ព្ទរបស់មេគ្រួសារ ឬ សមាជិក (Contact Number):

[5]. អាយុមេគ្រួសារ (Age):

[6]. ភេទមេគ្រួសារ (Sex):

1. ☐ ប្រុស (male)

2. ☐ ស្រី (female)

[7]. ស្ថានភាពអាពាហ៍ពិពាហ៍/មេគ្រួសារ/Marital Status:

1. ☐ រៀបការ/Married 2. ☐ នៅលីវ/Single
3. ☐ លែងលះ/Divorced 4. ☐ មែម៉ាយ/ពោះម៉ាយ/Widow/Widower

[8]. ការអប់រំ(កំរិតវប្បធម៌) របស់មេគ្រួសារ (Education of the household head):

1. ☐ បឋមសិក្សា/elementary 2. ☐ អនុវិទ្យាល័យ/ Secondary school
3. ☐ វិទ្យាល័យ/high school 3. ☐ មហាវិទ្យាល័យ/college
4. ☐ វិជ្ជាជីវៈ/vocational
5. ☐ មិនបានចូលរៀន/No schooling
6. ☐ ផ្សេងៗ (Other, specify): _____

[9]. ជនជាតិ(Ethnicity): 1. ☐ ខ្មែរ/Khmer 2. ☐ ចាម/Cham

3. ☐ វៀតណាម/Vietnamese 4. ☐ ផ្សេងៗ/Other, specify) : _____

[10]. តើស្ថានភាពរបស់មេគ្រួសារមានលក្ខណៈយ៉ាងដូចម្តេច? (What is the condition of household head?)

- ☐ 1. ពិការ(Disable); ☐ 2. ចាស់ជរា (Elderly)
☐ 3. មែម៉ាយ/ពោះម៉ាយ/Widow/er ☐ 4. មានប័ណ្ណក្រីក្រ (Poor ID)
☐ 5. គ្មានដី (Landless) ☐ 6. ប្រាក់ចំណូលក្រោមបន្ទាត់ភាពក្រីក្រ (Income below poverty line)
☐ 7. សុខភាពធម្មតា(normal health) ☐ 8. ផ្សេងៗ (Other).....

II. ព័ត៌មានស្តីពីប្រាក់ចំណូល (Income information)

[11]. តើប្រភពប្រាក់ចំណូលសំខាន់ របស់គ្រួសារអ្នកបានពីអ្វីខ្លះ? What is main income source of your family?

Source of incomes	មុនពេលគំរោងទៅដល់		ពេលគំរោងទៅដល់		បាត់បង់ចំណូលអ្វីខ្លះ ពេលគំរោងទៅដល់
		Income in Riel		Income in Riel	Income in Riel
ប្រាក់បៀវត្ស (Wages/Salary)					
ជួញដូរ (Trading)					
ផលិតផលកសិកម្ម (Agricultural product)					

សេវាផ្សេងៗ (Other services)					
ផលិតកម្ម (Manufacture)					
នេសាទ (Fishing)					
ប្រាក់បញ្ញើ (Remittance)					
ចិញ្ចឹមសត្វ (Livestock)					
ដឹកជញ្ជូន (Transportation)					
បរបាញ់ (Hunting)					
ជាងជួសជុល (Technician)					
ផ្សេងៗ (Other, Specify).....					

[12]. តើក្នុងមួយខែជាមធ្យមគ្រួសាររបស់អ្នកប្រាក់ចំណូលបានប៉ុន្មាន? (What is the average monthly income of your household?)

មុនពេលគំរាងទៅដល់	ពេលគំរាងទៅដល់

[13]. តើប្រភពសំខាន់នៃការចំណាយ របស់គ្រួសារអ្នកមានអ្វីខ្លះ? What is main source of cash expense in your family?

Source of Expense	មុនពេលគំរាងទៅដល់		ពេលគំរាងទៅដល់		បាត់បង់ការចំណាយអ្វីខ្លះពេលគំរាងទៅដល់	
		Expense in Riel		Expense in Riel		Expense in Riel
អង្ករ (Rice)						
អាហារ (Food)						
ប្រេងឥន្ធនៈ (Fuel)						
គ្រឿងសំអាង (Cosmetics)						
សុខភាព (Health)						
ដឹកជញ្ជូន (Transportation)						
ភ្លើង (Electricity)						
ទឹក (Water)						
សំលៀកបំពាក់ (Clothes)						
ការអប់រំ (Education)						
ការរៀបចំពិធីផ្សេងៗ (Ceremony or wedding)						

សង្ហារឹម (Furniture)						
ការកំសាន្ត (Entertainment)						
ផ្សេងៗ (Other, Specify).....						

[14]. តើក្នុងមួយខែជាមធ្យមគ្រួសាររបស់អ្នកចំណាយអស់ប៉ុន្មាន? (What is the average monthly expense of your household?)

មុនពេលគំរោងទៅដល់	ពេលគំរោងទៅដល់

II. ទ្រព្យសម្បត្តិដែលរងផលប៉ះពាល់និង ការវាស់វែង (Affected Assets and Measurement)

[15]. តើគ្រួសាររបស់អ្នកមានទទួលរងនូវផលប៉ះពាល់ទ្រព្យសម្បត្តិដោយសារគម្រោងរបៀងក្រុងបារិត ប៉ោយប៉ែត និងបាត់ដំបងដែរឬទេ?/Has your family been affected by Corridor Town at Bavet, Poipet and Battambang project?

☐ 1. មាន/Yes; ☐ 2. មិនមាន/No

[16]. តើគ្រួសាររបស់អ្នកទទួលបានទទួលសំណងជាក់ស្តែងនូវទ្រព្យសម្បត្តិ ដែលទទួលរងនូវផលប៉ះពាល់នៃគម្រោងរបៀងក្រុងបារិត ប៉ោយប៉ែត និងបាត់ដំបងហើយឬនៅ?/Has your family been receive the compensation by Corridor Town at Bavet, Poipet and Battambang project?

☐ 1. បាន/Yes ☐ 2. មិនបាន/No

[17]. ប្រសិនបើអ្នកមិនបានទទួលតើមូលហេតុអ្វី? (If "No" what is the reason?)

.....

[18]. តើអ្នកបានចូលរួមប្រជុំសាធារណៈលំអិតពីការទទួលសំណងដែរឬទេ?/ Did you attend public meeting of receive the compensation?)

☐ 1. បាន/Yes ☐ 2. មិនបាន/No

[19]. ប្រសិនបើអ្នកមិនបានចូលរួមប្រជុំសាធារណៈតើមូលហេតុអ្វី? (If "No" what is the reason?)

[20]. តើអ្នកបានទទួលព័ត៌មានអ្វីខ្លះពីការប្រជុំជាសាធារណៈ? (What information have you got during public meeting?)

[21]. តើទ្រព្យសម្បត្តិអ្វីខ្លះ ដែលគ្រួសាររបស់អ្នករងផលប៉ះពាល់ពីគម្រោង?(What are your household assets affected by the project?)

Code	ទ្រព្យសម្បត្តិប៉ះពាល់/Affected Assets	Tick
1	ដីស្រែ/Farmland	
2	ដីលំនៅឋាន/Residential land	
3	ដីពាណិជ្ជកម្ម/Commercial land	
4	ផ្ទះ/House	
5	តូបលក់ដូរ/Store	
6	ផ្ទះ និងតូបលក់/House – Store	
7	សំយាបផ្ទះ/Yard	
8	ផ្ទះបាយ/Kitchen	
9	របង/Fence	
10	សាបស៊ីម៉ង់ត៍/Concrete pavement	
11	ផ្លូវចូលផ្ទះ/Box culvert (driveway)	
12	ដំណាំ/ដើមឈើ/Tree/Crop	
13	លូរ /Sewage	
14	អណ្តូង ឬ ស្រះទឹក / Cistern or pond	
15	ផ្សេងៗ បញ្ជាក់/Other (Specify)_____	

[22]. តើទ្រព្យសម្បត្តិដែលគ្រួសាររបស់អ្នករងផលប៉ះពាល់ពីគម្រោងមានទំហំសរុបប៉ុន្មានម៉ែត្រការ៉េ?
(What is the size of your affected assets?)

Code	ទ្រព្យសម្បត្តិប៉ះពាល់/Affected Assets	ទំហំ/Size (m ²)/L/n
1	ដីស្រែ/Farmland	
2	ដីលំនៅឋាន/Residential land	

3	ដីពាណិជ្ជកម្ម/Commercial land	
4	ផ្ទះ/House	
5	តូបលក់ដូរ/Store	
6	ផ្ទះ និងតូបលក់/House – Store	
7	សំយាបផ្ទះ/Yard	
8	ផ្ទះបាយ/Kitchen	
9	របង/Fence	
10	សាបស៊ីម៉ង់មុខផ្ទះ/Concrete pavement	
11	ផ្លូវចូលផ្ទះ/Box culvert (driveway)	
12	ដំណាំ/ដើមឈើ/Tree/Crop	
13	លូ/sewage	
14	អណ្តូង ឬ ស្រះទឹក / Cistern or pond	
15	ផ្សេងៗ បញ្ជាក់/Other (Specify)_____	

[23]. តើអ្នក/គ្រួសាររបស់អ្នកពេញចិត្តចំពោះលើទ្រព្យសម្បត្តិដែលរងប៉ះពាល់ទាំងនោះយ៉ាងណាដែរ?

/ How are you/your family satisfied with the affected assets?

1	ពេញចិត្តខ្លាំង/Very satisfied	
2	ពេញចិត្ត/Satisfied	
3	មធ្យម/Average	
4	មិនពេញចិត្ត/Unsatisfied	
5	មិនពេញចិត្តទាល់តែសោះ/Very unsatisfied	
6	មិនដឹង/Don't know	

[24]. បើមិនពេញចិត្តឬមិនពេញចិត្តទាល់តែសោះ, តើអ្នកមានមូលហេតុចម្បងអ្វីដែរ?/If unsatisfied or very unsatisfied, what is your main reason?

.....

.....

.....

.....

IV. ការតវ៉ា និងមតិយោបល់អ្នករងផលប៉ះពាល់ (APs' Comments)

[25]. តើគ្រួសាររបស់អ្នកធ្លាប់មានការតវ៉ាផ្សេងៗពីបញ្ហាសំណងលើទ្រព្យសម្បត្តិដែលរងប៉ះពាល់នៅគម្រោងរបៀងក្រុងបាវិត ប៉ោយប៉ែត និងបាត់ដំបងឬទេ? (Have your family ever complained about compensation on the affected assets by Corridor Town at Bavet, Poipet and Battambang project?)

☐ 1. ធ្លាប់(Yes) when; ☐ 2. មិនធ្លាប់(No) ☐ 3. មិនដឹង(Don't know)

[26]. បើធ្លាប់, តើតវ៉ាអំពីបញ្ហាអ្វី? (If YES, what was the complaint about?)

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

[27]. តើអ្នកធ្លាប់បានដឹងលឺដោយផ្ទាល់អំពីការតវ៉ាផ្សេងៗដែលកើតមានក្នុងតំបន់របស់អ្នកពីការសំណងលើទ្រព្យសម្បត្តិដែលរងប៉ះពាល់នៅគម្រោងគម្រោងរបៀងក្រុងបាវិត ប៉ោយប៉ែត និងបាត់ដំបងដែរឬទេ? (Have your family ever known or heard any complained about the compensation on the affected assets by Corridor Town at Bavet, Poipet and Battambang project?)

☐ 1. ធ្លាប់(Yes); ☐ 2. មិនធ្លាប់(No) ☐ 3. មិនដឹង(Don't know)

[28]. បើធ្លាប់, តើតវ៉ាអំពីបញ្ហាអ្វី? (If YES, what was the complaint about?)

.....

.....

.....

.....

[29]. ប្រសិនបើមានការតវ៉ា, តើមានដំណោះស្រាយកើតឡើងដែរឬទេ?

(In case there is a complaint (s), is there any solution happened?)

☐ 1. មាន(Yes) WHEN ; ☐ 2. គ្មាន(No)

[30]. បើមានការតវ៉ាផ្សេងៗ កើតឡើងទាក់ទងនឹងបញ្ហាគម្រោងគម្រោងរៀងក្នុងបារិត ដោយប៉ែត និងបាត់ដំបង, តើអ្នក ឬ អ្នកភូមិរបស់អ្នកធ្វើការតវ៉ាតាមរយៈអ្នកណា? (If there are any in relation the Corridor Town at Bavet, Poipet and Battambang project, who have you or your villagers filed a complaint to?)

1	អាជ្ញាធរមូលដ្ឋាន (Local authorities)	
2	អាជ្ញាធរស្រុក (District authorities)	
3	អនុគណៈកម្មការ (sub-committee)	
4	គណៈកម្មការអន្តរក្រសួង(IRC)	
5	មិនដឹង (Don't know)	

[31]. តើអ្នកមានមតិយោបល់អ្វីបន្ថែមចំពោះការវាស់វែងលើទ្រព្យសម្បត្តិដែលរងប៉ះពាល់នៅគម្រោងគម្រោងរៀងក្នុងបារិត ដោយប៉ែត និងបាត់ដំបងដែរឬទេ? (Do you have any comments/suggestions about measurement on the affected assets by Corridor Town at Bavet, Poipet and Battambang project?)

.....

.....

.....

.....

[32]. កំណត់ចំណាំ (Note)

.....

.....

.....

.....

Name and Signature of Interviewer

Name and Signature of Respondent

(ឈ្មោះ និង ហត្ថលេខារបស់អ្នកតបសម្ភាសន៍)

(ឈ្មោះ និង ហត្ថលេខារបស់អ្នកធ្វើសម្ភាសន៍)

[illegible][illegible]

40



Picture 6 to 8: Wastewater Treatment system (Storm Damage) for Subproject in Battambang



**Picture 9 to 12: Poi Pet Subproject: Wastewater Treatment Subproject “Storm Drainage”
(The Road and Drainage system are under the construction) and
(ADB and IRC-WG field visit for construction progress)**

Here are the reference of regulation of PGRCs' members Establishment of EMO Corridor Towns

40

- Approve the URP and endorsing URP for the approval of ADB.
- Initiate the establishment Provincial Grievance Redress Committee (PGRC).
- Provide overall guidance on implementing rules and regulations for LAR and propose updates as necessary.

D. General Department of Resettlement

104 The GDR is the permanent technical secretariat of the IRC and is the lead agency for the planning, implementation, monitoring and reporting of URP in accordance with LAR-related laws and implementing rules and procedures and this URP. The GDR has assigned the responsibility for the implementation of URP to Department of Resettlement No. 2 (DR2). The monitoring of the implementation of the URP and the management of the GRM will be carried out by the Department of Internal Monitoring and Data Management (DIMDM). In addition, the DIMDM will record and review all complaints and grievances submitted by the APs, investigate them and make recommendations on compliance to the Director General of GDR.

E. IRC-Working Group (IRC-WG)

105 The IRC-WG will carry out the day to day LAR activities under the URP which will be led by the Deputy Director of DR2 of the GDR and comprise of technical PMU staff of the MPWT/POMPWT, staff of the DR2, and staff of the Ministry of Land Management, Urban Planning and Construction. The IRC-WG is responsible for all the field work under the supervision of the Director of the DR2 and overall guidance and direction of the Director General of the GDR. The composition of the IRC-WG for this URP is in Table 10-1 below.

Table 10-1: Composition of IRC-WG for Storm Drains Subproject

No.	Name	Position	Agency
1	SUN Sokny	Deputy Director	DR2, GDR
2	SENG Hay	Team Leader	DR2, GDR
3	KHEN Sovathara	Vice Team Leader	DR2, GDR
4	CHANN KokUong	Working Group	MLMUPC
5	MIN Synaka	Working Group	MLMUPC
6	Try Vann Tho	Working Group	MLMUPC
7	VONG Rada	Working Group	MPWT
8	POU Manth	Working Group	MPWT
9	LY Sokthong	Working Group	MPWT
10	PAL Piseth	Working Group	MPWT
11	CHEA Sophanet	Working Group	MPWT
12	CHANN Rith	Working Group	MPWT

F. Provincial Resettlement Sub-Committee (PRSC)

106 The PRSC has been established by the Provincial Governor at the request of the IRC for the storm drains subproject. The composition of the PRSC is shown in the Table 10-2 below.

Table 10-2: Composition of PRSC for Storm Drains Subproject

No.	Position/Title	Name of Representative
1	Head (Vice Governor)	LY Sary
2	Director of PDEF	BOEUNG Kimseung
3	Deputy Director of DPWT	CHEA SovanThon
4	Director of LMUPC	PrakPolin

80

Date: 14 November 2018
Starting Time: 2:30 PM
Ending Time: 4:45 pm
Venue: Municipal Office, Battambang

I. Participants/Facilitators

Mr. Seng Hai Team Leader IRC-WG/GDR from MEF
Mr. An Disan IRC Working Group from GDR/MEF
Mr. Sam Sopha Provincial Governor
Mr. Nhom Sokhara Provincial Governor
Mr. Hok Samnang Provincial Governor
Mr. Song Soeng Chief Office of Municipal
Mr. Nom Chek Chief Office of Land Urban Management
Mr. Seang Bora National Resettlement Specialist, PISCD

II. Highlights of the Consultation

Project Overview: Mr. Seng Hai

The Southern Economic Corridor Towns Development Project is designed to facilitate the transformation of transport corridors into economic corridors to spur economic growth and sustained development through provision of adequate urban and infrastructure and essential services to facilitate growth and increase urbanization in the Greater Mekong Subregion (GMS). The project will implement a number of environmental improvement subprojects in three towns Battambang, Polpet and Bayet: (i) urban road improvements, including drainage; (ii) flood control; (iii) wastewater treatment; and (iv) solid waste management. Consultation with vulnerable group is important because these are the most affected group of direct stakeholders of the project and the resettlement policy indicates that their socio-economic conditions at pre-project levels must be restored or better. There are structures owned and incomes to be affected thus, there is a need to consult with them their issues and concerns as well as their suggestions to be considered in resettlement planning and implementation.

Furthermore, Mr. Seng Hai welcomed all participants such as the Provincial Governor and his key staffs, representatives and local authorities. He thanked them for their efforts in organizing the meeting and in inviting vulnerable group to participate in the meeting where they are identified based on the DMS results for Storm Drainage of Wastewater Treatment subproject. As per DMS data, there are 22 AHs classified as vulnerable Group comprised of 0 FHH whose main houses will be entirely affected and to be relocated outside of the subproject area, 2 elderly AHs and 20 AHs (2 of which are among the 2) whose monthly household income is US\$33 per capita and below.

Objectives of the Consultation:

- To identify an appropriate income restoration/alternative livelihood for Vulnerable Group affected by Resettlement impacts.
- To identify all vulnerable group and the types of income disruption during construction stage such from business/other occupations before, during and after construction.
- To inform all vulnerable Group about their entitlement as per entitlement matrix to receive cash assistant
- Entitlement Matrix for 5 Landless AHs to be relocated

100

Appendix E. Regulation on GRC's Establishment

Kingdom of Cambodia
Nation Religion King

Svay Rieng Provincial Office
Nº: 160 SSR

Decision Establishment of Grievance Redress Committee of Bavet Development Project, Svay Rieng Province

Governor of Svay Rieng Province

- Having seen the Reach Kret Nº NS/RKM/0508/017 Dated on May 24, 2008 promulgating Law on Administrative Management of the Capital, Provinces, Municipalities, Districts and Khans
- Having seen Royal Decree Nº NS/RKT/0413/362, dated on April 14, 2013 on the appointment of Svay Rieng Provincial Council.
- Having seen sub-decree Nº 216 ONK/BK, dated on December 14, 2009 on roles and involvement of Provincial Council, Municipal Council, District Council.
- Reference letter Nº 3055 SHV dated on May 09, 2013 of Ministry of Economic and Finance.
- According to the necessity of the provincial office.

Decide

Article 1: The Provincial Grievance Redress Committee of Bavet Development Project, Svay Rieng Province is established with the following members:

- | | | | |
|----|--------------------|---------------------------------------|----------|
| 1. | H.E Men Vibol | Provincial Deputy Governor | Director |
| 2. | Mr. Som Savuth | Director of DPWT | Deputy |
| 3. | Mr. Chen Sathean | Admin Deputy Director of Pro. Office | Member |
| 4. | Mr. Chou Sothy | Director of DEF | Member |
| 5. | Mr. Kim Pisith | Director of DLMUPC | Member |
| 6. | Mr. Tach Ratana | Director of DAFF | Member |
| 7. | Mr. Loek Chamroeun | Deputy Provincial Police Commissioner | Member |
| 8. | Mr. Nuth Nun | Deputy Commander of Provincial PM | Member |
| 9. | Mr. Neb Saron | Deputy Governor of Bavet Town | Member |